



(Prague, 30/Sep - 01/Oct 2019)

New geophysical and geochemical data at the archaeological site of Zaldua (Auritz/Burguete, Navarre)

by Ekhine GARCIA-GARCIA Carmen GARCIA-CUENCA, Javier ARNEDILLO, Knesiia BONDAR, Philippe DE SMEDT, Eneko IRIARTE, Roger SALA, Armin SCHMIDT, Clare WILSON

Topic 2: Integrated approaches combining geophysics and soil science at archaeological sites



The First SAGA Training School

Introduction to the Use of Geophysical & Soil Science Methods in Archaeology

Roman site of Zaldua

(Auritz/Burguete, Navarre)

29 July – 2 August 2019





- 21 Trainees (12 affiliation countries)
 - 11 Trainers (6 affiliation countries)

Fundaments of routine geophysical and soil science methods used in archaeological investigations

Theoretical and Hands-on sessions





New data acquired during the TS















□ To show the new acquired data.

Contents:

1. Introduction to the site

2. The new data acquired during the TS

3. Results

4. Conclusions









Located in a natural pass in the western part of the Pyrenees

Discovered in the context of a larger project

Related to a roman road

Investigated mainly with geophysics





2012 Archaeological trenches

2013 Magnetic survey

2014 Core survey

2015 GPR / RES surveys

2015-2019 Excavations

.Bartington Grad 601-dual fluxgate gradiometer. 18ha at 0.25 x 0.5m resolution. Processed data



Garcia-Garcia et al. 2016. Magnetometer Survey at the Newly-discovered Roman City of Auritz/Burguete (Navarre). Results and Preliminary Archaeological Interpretation. Archaeological Prospection 23(4): 243-256.



- In the main area (circa 4.5 ha)
- * Good magnetic contrast
- * Organized along the road
- * No clear limits







Other areas with poor magnetic contrast







Complementary geophysical surveys





Geoarchaeological core survey



The data acquired during the TS

- 1. Electromagnetic Induction Survey
- 2. Electrical resistivity imaging /
- 3. Earth resistance survey
- 4. Ground Penetrating Radar
- 5. Geoarchaeological core survey
- 6. Superficial magnetic susceptibility measurements
- 7. Geochemical analyses in open archaeological trench



The data acquired during the TS

- 1. Electromagnetic Induction Survey
- 2. Electrical resistivity imaging
- 3. Earth resistance survey
- 4. Ground Penetrating Radar
- 5. Geoarchaeological core survey



- 6. Superficial magnetic susceptibility measurements.
- 7. Geochemical analyses in open archaeological trench





Superficial magnetic susceptibility crossing the site

KM-7 Satis Geo kappameter

100 measurements separated by 5m

3 measurements by position. Average taken as a final value





Divided into two segments:

From 130 to 250 m / From 250 to 435 m

Good correlation with gradiometer response map

but: enhanced values in northern fringe





etic susceptibility over the site

- Divided into two segments:
 - From 130 to 250 m / From 250 to 435 m
- Good correlation with gradiometer response map
 - but: enhanced values in northern fringe



Measures made in the rocks of the excavation area

Rock Material	Mag Susceptibility (x10-3 SI)								
Mudstone	0.18-0.35								
Sandstone red	0.00-0.1								
Sandstone black	0.24								
Shale	0.01-0.19								
Brick light yellow	0.24-0.63								
Brick light reddish	2.16-4.00								
Brick red	6.41-7.39								









GPR RES





IDS Hi-mod 600MHz and 200MHz



RM15-Mpx15 0.5m &1m







0.5m level.

IDS Hi-mod 600MHz and 200MHz



RM15-Mpx15 0.5m &1m



-7nT (blue()/ 9nT (white)

0.22.-0.39m (v=7.3 cm/ns)





IDS Hi-mod 600MHz and 200MHz



RM15-Mpx15 0.5m &1m



-7nT (blue()/ 9nT (white)

0.55-0.72m (v=7.3 cm/ns)

0.5m level.









Magnetic response map. + GPR

In Phase MAG susceptibility – PRP (0.5m depth)





Magnetic response map. + RES survey (twin, 0.5m)

Electrical conductivity – PRP (0.5m depth)

Electrical conductivity – HCP (1.5m depth)





Magnetic response map. + RES survey (twin, 0.5m)

Electrical conductivity – PRP (0.5m depth)

Electrical conductivity – HCP (1.5m depth)

















.GPR results. 0.50-0.64m (600MHz; v=7.3 cm/ns)

.GPR results. 1.07m-1.21m (600MHz; v=7.3 cm/ns)

635700

635700





Magnetic response map. -7nT (dark) / 9nt (white)





Magnetic response map. -7nT (dark) / 9nt (white)



In Phase MAG susceptibility – HCP (1m depth)



Electrical conductivity – PRP (0.5m depth)





Magnetic response map. -7nT (dark) / 9nt (white)



.GPR results. 1.07m-1.21m (600MHz; v=7.3 cm/ns)



Electrical conductivity – HCP (1.5m depth)





Magnetic response map. -7nT (dark) / 9nt (white)







- 1. Top layer
- 2. Mixed layer with several inclusions 11-12-16-17
- Black thin ashy layer
 5-9-14
- White mortar floor layer
 4-15
- 5. Black thin ashy layer 3-8-13
- 6. Brown silty layer 1-2





- 1. Top layer
- 2. Mixed layer with several inclusions 11-12-16-17
- Black thin ashy layer
 5-9-14
- White mortar floor layer
 4-15
- 5. Black thin ashy layer 3-8-13
- Brown silty layer
 1-2



SAMPLE	Context	Sn	Nb	Zr	Sr	Rb	As	Pb	Zn	Cu	Fe	Mn	Cr	v	Ti	Ва	Са	К	AI	Р	Si	Cl	S
saga9	3	< LOD	6.8	134.8	59.1	55.7	< LOD	392.6	88.9	61.2	20332.0	1708.6	82.6	< LOD	2167.2	372.4	29933.2	10976.4	29659.4	3252.9	126846.2	< LOD	470.9
saga14	3	42.3	6.8	143.7	60.7	61.8	< LOD	768.0	80.0	118.5	20463.6	1567.8	54.0	< LOD	1713.7	469.3	16068.3	9274.1	27738.6	5768.1	124473.6	< LOD	785.8
saga5	3	285.9	8.4	147.9	65.7	54.6	< LOD	578.8	103.4	173.9	19734.7	2077.6	62.6	145.6	2273.3	451.3	21887.2	10205.8	36058.5	5957.3	141886.7	< LOD	1436.4
saga8	5	< LOD	3.9	87.0	52.8	44.6	<lod< td=""><td>177.3</td><td>47.0</td><td>70.5</td><td>21055.3</td><td>4082.3</td><td>67.9</td><td>68.1</td><td>1447.5</td><td>433.8</td><td>19047.0</td><td>8159.0</td><td>26680.5</td><td>3282.8</td><td>108075.2</td><td>< LOD</td><td>247.4</td></lod<>	177.3	47.0	70.5	21055.3	4082.3	67.9	68.1	1447.5	433.8	19047.0	8159.0	26680.5	3282.8	108075.2	< LOD	247.4
saga13	5	< LOD	5.9	95.4	56.3	69.0	< LOD	229.3	60.1	75.1	23663.8	3515.6	< LOD	113.2	1772.4	723.2	17581.1	10955.0	42788.9	3687.0	160453.1	< LOD	331.2
saga3	5	< LOD	7.9	66.2	63.7	33.5	< LOD	178.7	< LOD	162.9	18421.8	4964.9	< LOD	< LOD	619.7	577.6	39585.6	2890.3	5731.7	1213.0	31051.8	< LOD	247.6



The application of new geophysical methods add additional information in some archaeological questions

□ Area 1: Confirmation of previous results

□ Area 2: Differences between the two occupied areas

The geochemical data of the open trench revealed strong differences in archaeological deposits not discernible by eye.